UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY TRENTON VICINAGE

AMBER ARPAIO,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION

No: 3:08-CV-03548 (PGS-LHG)

٧.

ASHLEY ALEXANDER DUPRE, JOSEPH FRANCIS, MRA HOLDING, LLC, MANTRA FILMS, INC et al,

Defendants

RECEIVED

APR 1 3 2015

AT 8:30____M WILLIAM T. WALSH CLERK

REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

JOSEPH FRANCIS 7120 Carlson Circle, #263 Canoga Park, CA 91303

Pro Se

April 10, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	APPROPRIATENESS OF THE MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER	1
II.	THE TEMPORARY SURRENDER OF A PASSPORT IS REQUIRED WHEN APPLICATION FOR PERMENANT RESSIDENCY IS MADE FROM WITHIN MEXICO	,2
III.	FRANCIS SHOULD NOT BE SANCTIONED OR HELD IN CONTEMPT FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH AN ORDER WHEN HE WAS UNABLE TO SO COMPLY	3
IV	CONCLUSION	3

I. APPROPRIATENESS OF THE MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Counsel for Plaintiff argues in Plaintiff's Opposition that it was improper for Defendant Joseph Francis ("Francis") to file the present Motion for a Protective Order because this Court had already ordered on January 30, 2015 that Francis attend the deposition on March 23, 2015.

However, a Motion for Protective Order was the only way for Francis to be able to bring to this Court's attention in a timely manner the extenuating circumstances surrounding his non-appearance for the court-ordered deposition.

Plaintiff suggests that Francis should have instead appealed the January 30, 2015 order. However, that was not a proper solution because (1) discovery orders are not generally appealable (*Church of Scientology v. United States*, 506 U.S. 9, 18, n.11 (1992); and (2) there was nothing inherently objectionable or appealable about the January 30, 2015 order. The only problem that Francis had was due to facts that arose well after the January 30, 2015 order; namely, his United States Passport had not yet been returned and so he was not lawfully able to travel to the United States for the deposition.

Similarly, a Motion for Reconsideration of the January 30, 2015 order was not an appropriate means of bringing the circumstances of Francis' non-appearance to this Court's attention. "The purpose of a motion for reconsideration is to correct manifest errors of law or fact or to present newly discovered evidence." *Harsco Corp. v. Zlotnicki*, 779 F.2d 906, 909 (3rd Cir. 1985).

Perhaps a Motion for Reconsideration should have been brought with respect to the objections to the scope of documents sought to be produced at the deposition, but even that is debatable since this Court never expressly considered or ruled upon the appropriateness of the scope of documents sought.

If Francis had done nothing to bring the reasons for his non-appearance to the

attention of this Court, the Court's January 30, 2015 order provided that all Plaintiff had to do was merely report Francis' non-appearance to the Court and that the Court would allow Plaintiff to renew her requests for sanctions.

The Motion for Protective Order was the means for Francis to bring to this Court's attention the legitimate reasons for his non-appearance at the court-ordered deposition and also bring to the Court's attention that he: (1) had notified counsel for Plaintiff in writing several days ahead of the deposition that he could not physically attend the deposition and the reasons why, and (2) provided a phone number and email address at which he could be directly reached, and that counsel for Plaintiff made not attempts to contact him.

If this Court still feels that a Motion for Protective Order was not the appropriate means to bring the issues raised to the Court's attention, then this Court should consider Francis' Motion as a Motion for Reconsideration or whatever other type of procedural mechanism the Court believes would be the proper motion to raise such issues with the Court.

II. THE TEMPORARY SURRENDER OF A PASSPORT IS REQUIRED WHEN APPLICATION FOR PERMENANT RESSIDENCY IS MADE FROM WITHIN MEXICO

In Plaintiff's Opposition, counsel for Plaintiff claims to have spoken with an official at the Mexican Consulate (presumably located in Atlanta Georgia, since the area code provided by such Plaintiff's counsel in his Declaration for the official is a 404 area code). According to counsel for Plaintiff, he was informed by such official that the Mexican Consulate would never require a United States citizen to surrender their passport as part of an application for a visa or residency.

Besides the fact that such statements are hearsay and therefore inadmissible, the alleged statements are correct only with respect to applications for visas and/or residency submitted by US Citizens, while still in the United States, to Mexican Consulates located

in the United States.

With respect to applications for residency submitted to Mexican Officials IN MEXICO while a United States citizen is IN MEXICO, such persons are required to temporarily surrender their passport while the application for residency is being processed. Francis reconfirms that he was required, and did, temporarily surrender his passport to Mexican authorities and that such passport has not yet been returned. See, Supplemental Declaration of Joseph Francis attached hereto as Exhibit E.

III. FRANCIS SHOULD NOT BE SANCTIONED OR HELD IN CONTEMPT FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH AN ORDER WHEN HE WAS UNABLE TO SO COMPLY

Francis was unable to comply with this Court's January 30, 2015 Order because he did not have his passport and therefore was unable to travel into the United States from Mexico.

A court may not impose punishment "in a civil contempt proceeding when it is clearly established that the alleged contemnor is unable to comply with the terms of the order." *Hicks v. Feiock*, 485 U.S. 624, 638, n. 9, 108 S.Ct. 1423, 99 L.Ed.2d 721 (1988).

IV. CONCLUSION

For these reasons, and the reasons set forth in the original Memorandum of Francis, this Court should issue the protective order requested.

DATED: April 10, 2015

By:

JOSEPH FRANCIS, Defendant

Pro Se

SCHEDULE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
E	Supplemental Declaration of Joseph Francis

EXHIBIT E

DECLARATION OF JOSEPH FRANCIS

- I, Joseph Francis, hereby declare as follows:
- 1. I am the defendant in the present action. I am over the age of 18 and competent to testify to the matters in this declaration because I have personal knowledge of such matters.
- 2. I confirm again that when I applied for residency in Mexico, while I was physically in Mexico, I was required to temporarily surrender my United States Passport and that it was not returned prior to the March 23, 2015 deposition date and has stil not yet been returned.

I declare the foregoing under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America.

April 10, 2015

Joseph Francis

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

RONALD TYM hereby declares the following under penalty of perjury:

On April 10, 2015, I caused a copy of the foregoing REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER and all exhibits thereto to be mailed by first class mail postage prepaid to the following:

Joseph J. Fell, Esq. 17 Farinella Dr.

East Hanover, NJ 07936

DATED: April 60, 2015

Ronald D. Tym/

PRIORITY * MAIL * **EXPRESS™**

OUR FASTEST SERVICE IN THE U.S.

WHEN USED INTERNATIONALLY, A CUSTOMS DECLARATION LABEL MAY BE REQUIRED.

EP13F July 2013 OD: 12.5 x 9.5



PS10001000006

CUSTOMER USE ONLY	industry the second	
FROM: (PLEASE PRINT)	PHONE ()	,
Joseph Fra	MCN	
% Rowell T	Ym #26	
1 7120 Carls	m Circle, #26	
Canala Pul	R, CA S1303	

COPIES LEGIBL

WRITE FIRMLY TO MAKE ALL

	DELIVERY OPTIONS (Customer Use Only)
į	SIGNATURE REQUIRED Note: The mailer must check the "Signature Required" box if the mailer: 1
ĺ	Requires the addressee's signature; OR 2) Purchases additional insurance; OR 3) Purchases COD service; OR 4
ì	Purchases Return Receipt service. If the box is not checked, the Postal Service will leave the item in the addressee's
	mail receptacle or other secure location without attempting to obtain the addressee's signature on delivery.
i	Delivery Options

- No Saturday Delivery (delivered next business day)
- Sunday/Holiday Delivery Required (additional fee, where available 10:30 AM Delivery Required (additional fee, where available*)

TO: (PLEASE PRINT) Clerk

US District Ct. 402 E. Stoke Street, Room 2020 Trenton, NJ U8608

ZIP + 48 (U.S. ADDRESSES ONLY)

- For pickup or USPS Tracking™, visit USPS.com or call 800-222-1811.
- \$100.00 insurance included.

EK795682354US



PRIORITY * MAIL *

	ORIGIN (POSTAL SERVIC	CE USE ONLY)			
1)	1-Day	2-Day		Military	☐ DPO
4) 'S	PO ZIP Code	Scheduled Delivery	Date	Postage	
		, , , , , , , , ,		10 0	Ca
	041315	11211		\$ / 3 / - 7	7
-	Date Accepted (MM/DD/YY)	Scheduled Delivery		Insurance Fee	COD Fee
-	041115	☐ 10:30 AM	3:00 PM	\$	\$
-1	Time Accepted	10:30 AM Delivery F	ee	Return Receipt Fee	Live Animal
	1050	\$		\$ ^{resource}	Transportation Fee
1	Weight Flat Rate	Sunday/Holiday Pre	mium Fee	Total Postage & Fees	,
	/	\$	With the state in the	1 Paris	trans of
	· ·	Acceptance Employ	ee Initials		- Campaigne
	, lbs. ozs.	1	-	s' '-'	Ca Ballon Car
DELIVERY (POSTAL SERVICE USE ONLY)					
			Employee S	ignature	
		□ АМ			
-	/ /	□РМ			
	Delivery Attempt (MM/DD/YY) T		Employee S	ignature	
		□ AM [
		□ РМ			

+ Money Back Guarantee for U.S. destinations only.

VISIT US AT USPS.COM® ORDER FREE SUPPLIES ONLINE







3-ADDRESSEE COPY